



OAH Knowledge Cafe

Home | Profile | Active Topics | Members | Search | FAQ

You are logged on as
Raymond.Wand

[Logout](#)

- All Forums
- OAH Discussions
- New Topics
- CHIBO and The National Initiative

- New Topic
- Reply to Topic
- Printer Friendly

Author

Topic

[Raymond.Wand](#)

Posted - 09/08/2005 : 19:36:28

423 Posts

Here is a number of links you may find informative that I have compiled.

Certification and Accreditation Model for Home and Property Inspectors Report Sections 2,3,4,5 and 6
[http://www.oahi.com/reading/CHIBOHPIsections2to6 -Draft6.pdf](http://www.oahi.com/reading/CHIBOHPIsections2to6-Draft6.pdf)

Overview of the Canadian Private Home Inspection Industry
http://www.chba.ca/membersarea/policies/PDFs/overview_home_inspection.pdf

First Nations Building Officials Certification
http://www.fnnboa.ca/Application_for_Certification_-_May_26_rev1.pdf
<http://www.cctt.ca/english/about/oct04/fontana.pdf>
http://www.fnnboa.ca/documents/CHIBO_press_release-Dec142003.pdf
http://www.senecac.on.ca/parttime/pip-home_inspection.html
http://www.agt.net/public/moemad/national_1.html
http://www.cmhc.ca/en/burema/gesein/abhose/abhose_ce35b.cfm
<http://www.cmhc.ca/publications/en/rh-pr/tech/tech00-131-e.html>

<http://www.cahi.ca/occupational.html>

<http://www.cahi.ca/pdf/CHIBO.pdf>
<http://www.cahi.ca/pdf/common.pdf>
<http://www.cahi.ca/pdf/PBO.pdf>
<http://www.cahi.ca/pdf/CMHC.pdf>
<http://www.cahi.ca/pdf/HPI.pdf>
<http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/en/cs/comm/news/2003/031210b.shtml>

Construction Sector Council aims for more home inspectors, building officials
http://www.csc-ca.org/english/whatsnew_4.html

http://www.csc-ca.org/english/whatwedo_4.html
http://www.csc-ca.org/english/whatsnew_4.html#anchor2

The Carson Dunlop and Associates program has been adopted by the American Society of Home Inspectors (ASHI), the Canadian Association of Home and Property Inspectors (CAHPI) in BC, Saskatchewan and Manitoba. The Carson Dunlop program complies with ASHI Curriculum and Standards of Practice. The program also meets the Professional Home/Property Inspector Occupational Standards developed by the Canadian Home Inspectors and Building Officials Steering Committee for National Standards (CHIBO).

http://www.senecac.on.ca/parttime/pip-home_inspection.html

<http://www.hrsdc.gc.ca/en/cs/comm/news/2003/031210b.shtml>

Raymond Wand R.H.I.
Alton, ON

Raymond.Wand

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 07:39:57

423 Posts

.... and yet another one.

Certification and Accreditation Model for Home and Property Inspectors

http://www.nachi.org/bbsystem/usrimages/C/CHIBO_HPI_Sections_2_to_8_-_FINAL_Draft.pdf

Raymond Wand RHI
Alton, ON



Bill.Mullen

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 16:03:44

73 Posts

I am wondering what positive intent Mr. Wand could possibly have by posting these messages and the several links therein.

He is providing leads to information that in most cases is woefully outdated and in many cases has been changed. As anyone who has been following the progression of the National Initiative is aware, the official, final document has not been made public yet. To point people towards these early, raw drafts is nothing short of misleading.

The information in the early drafts illustrated what some of the requirements, etc. might have been. As more information was studied and researched, the document experienced a few major transitions until it reached its present final and fair state.

The CHIBO Committee has finished its work of developing the National Certification Program for Canadian Home Inspectors. The correct, final document will soon be released.

Bill Mullen RHI



Bill.Mullen

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 18:06:04

73 Posts

Raymond:

- 1) You are a 'Retired RHI' and must display that if you use RHI behind your name although you insist on telling the world you are in fact an RHI.
- 2) You are NOT a member of CAHPI.
- 3) Even someone like you knows that 'Prevaricate' means to 'Lie'. Since you are clearly calling me a liar I will give you 24 hours to rescind that statement and apologize.
- 4) Congratulations on your new membership in NACHI. You certainly deserve each other.

5) There are many, many messages on a few forums in which you encourage others to try to cause problems for the hard working volunteers who have been trying to better the futures of qualified Canadian Home Inspectors by developing the National Certification Program.

6) If you were not out to derail the National Initiative, perhaps you can tell us how your recent letter to Joe Fontana's office helped the Initiative proceed. Luckily, the program stands up to any and all scrutiny, so your complaints were treated as they should be. Sorry, but your evil plan backfired, because it just gave everyone involved more reason to ensure that CAHPI is unquestionably the Voice of Canadian Home Inspectors. Stay tuned for some big announcements of good news for CAHPI and all credible Canadian Home Inspectors.

Bill Mullen RHI (legitimately)



Raymond.Wand



Posted - 09/13/2005 : 18:06:58



423 Posts

Mr. Mullen for your information I posted all these links as a courtesy to the members of OAHI and as a repository of all current information, whether outdated or not! It is much more information than you or CAHPI have been willing to provide at any point in time on this forum or to the membership. I don't ever recall seeing or reading anywhere on this site or anywhere else including CAHPI website all the info that is available as provided by the links.

You have been consistent in stating both on this forum and others that I am out to derail the National and CHIBO, and any other notion that you can seem to fit into your myopic agenda. You have a tendency to make statements which you cannot back up. That means you are prevaricating in order to try and discredit me. That seems to be in violation of the Code of Ethics and the Forum Rules, and I am surprised at your comments considering your role as appointed spokesperson for CAHPI as Director of Strategic Alliances.

Now do you really want this thread to deteriorate to your level or do you want to be helpful? Please also refer to page 5 of previous posts entitled "The National."

Who is misleading whom?

Thank You.

Raymond Wand RHI and Member of CAHPI
Alton, ON



Bill.Mullen



Posted - 09/13/2005 : 19:05:38



73 Posts

I ask all valid members of CAHPI Ontario to take note that Raymond Wand is blatantly claiming to be an RHI when his actual status is 'Retired RHI'

I also want everyone to note that he claims to be a member of CAHPI when in fact there are NO individual CAHPI members.

This is in absolute violation of our bylaws.

His branding me as a Prevaricator is a violation of the terms of this forum and is also subject to litigation which will be initiated tomorrow. I am fed up with his

thumbing his nose at our VALID Canadian Associations and I am no longer going to stand by while he dumps on the good people who work as members as volunteers.

He is a proud member of some American club that purports to have something to do with Home Inspecting. They are certainly meant for each other. He feels they are much superior to our Canadian associations, so it makes me and many others wonder why he just doesn't leave us alone and help them do their dream weaving.

Bill Mullen RHI



Raymond.Wand

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 20:03:13

423 Posts

Firstly I don't believe you to be an authority on the by-laws of Oahi. So if you have any concerns or questions as to your statements and allegations you have made I suggest you file with A) The DPPC and B) Your lawyer. As you recall I was Chairman of the DPPC and I am well aware of the By-laws.

As to posting public domain documents as a respository for others who may be interested in CHIBO and the National. There is no law preventing me from posting such topical links. Members have the right to read and draw their own conclusions. How you can even promote the idea my post was misleading is ridiculous. These links hopefully have stirred others into assessing and formulating questions. You have purposely turned a information session into you personal vendetta circus.

I would be remiss not to point out you do not control my freedom of association as defined in law, nor my free speech that I have endeavoured to do by providing informative links.

As to my membership in any other organization that is my business personally, and a business decision, it is not any of yours.

Thank you.

Raymond Wand
Alton, ON



Dave.Bottoms

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 20:48:13

96 Posts

Bill,
Word of advice: Don't waste your breath on this pantload. He's done nothing but trash OAHI and CAHPI on his U.S. NACHO forum for months and frankly, should be booted right out of this legitimate professional Canadian HI association.

Check out all he's got to say about OAHI and CAHPI. Of particular interest is the fact he's posting links to entire threads from this forum. So much for a closed and private members-only forum (see page 9 of the following link).

<http://www.nachi.org/bbsystem/viewtopic.php?t=14865&postdays=0&postorder=asc&start=0>

And lets all congratulate him for finally coming clean about working for Nick and NACHI at the detriment of our Canadian associations.

<http://www.nachi.org/bbsystem/viewtopic.php?t=15466>

A word of advice to all who post here: watch what you say because it could end up on NACHI's public U.S. forum courtesy of R. Wand, *Retired RHI*. He has ZERO respect for your privacy here.

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/13/2005 21:30:24



Dave.Bottoms

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 20:54:31

96 Posts

Oh, and by the way, Raymond, you are NOT and RHI. You are a *Retired RHI*. Stop misleading everyone into thinking you hold a title when in fact you do not. If I can draw your attention (once again) to the July OAHI Newsletter, where the OAHI Ethics Committee made the following statement to its members:

quote:

One issue that keeps coming up concerns retired members that continue practice. I would draw your attention to the OAHI By-law article 3.3(e)(2) which states: "Retired Members" shall be persons who: are not active performing inspections. If you are a retired member and are performing inspections you are required to update your membership and pay the required fees to become an active RHI. Failure to do so will result in disciplinary action being taken against you in accordance with the OAHI Bylaws.

If you want to call yourself an RHI, then pay the full membership fee like all the other RHIs have to. Or stop lying about it here and on your website. Misrepresenting your credentials to the public is blatantly unethical. Better yet, get lost; NACHI deserves you.

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/13/2005 20:56:44



Raymond.Wand

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 21:09:05

423 Posts

Thank you Mr. Bottoms for your enlightening post.

You should forward all complaints and allegations and speculation to the DPPC or your lawyer.

You obviously are ignorant of the laws and the the by-laws. I suggest along with Mr. Mullens you provide specific proof of your statements. And the particular sections of the by-law.

Considering you have threatened me on numerous occassions and have been reported to the police I find your lack of ethics and accussions most disturbing.

Raymond Wand RHI
Alton, ON



Dave.Bottoms

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 21:16:54

96 Posts

All I know is this:

1. You renewed last year not as an RHI, but as a Retired RHI at a much discounted membership fee.
2. You still claim you are an RHI here, on your U.S. NACHI website and to the general public on your own website.
3. It's an insult to all those RHIs who pay full price to use that acronym while you lie about it in order to skirt paying your proper dues.
4. The OAHI Ethics Committee has made it crystal clear that what you are doing is wrong, yet you still continue to perpetrate your little pretence.

You need to take a class in ethics 101.

You know, if you hate OAHI and CAHPI as much as you claim on your U.S. NACHI forum, then maybe it's time you put your money where your mouth is and quit. Seems rather hypocritical that you trash OAHI and all its hard-working volunteers day in and day out on your U.S. NACHI forum yet still maintain your OAHI/CAHPI membership year after year, wouldn't you say?

Bottom Line: Either stop lying about your credentials and pay the full membership fee like everyone else, or get the hell out. If it were up to me you wouldn't be afforded the first option.

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/13/2005 21:25:32



Raymond.Wand

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 21:45:40

423 Posts

Mr. Bottoms

Clearly you are not in a position to teach anyone anything about ethics. I have rights and will exercise them. Just as you have the right to file a complaint. I have a right to be a member and use RHI as defined in PR 158 and the By-laws. I also have the right to due process. If anyone is suggesting my rights be forfeited or infringed upon without due process there will be serious ramifications. I suggest you brush up on the law with regards to members rights as enshrined in PR 158 and Oahi by-laws and the Constitution which you hold in disdain.

Thank you.
Raymond Wand R,H.I.
Alton, ON



Dave.Bottoms

Posted - 09/13/2005 : 22:01:31

96 Posts

Just because you have rights doesn't making lying to the public about your credentials "right," now does it.

The bylaws also don't tell the membership not to steal from the houses we inspect. Based on your twisted and flawed logic, then it must be okay to steal, since it's not clearly addressed in the bylaws.

Ethical and professional business people don't need a list of rules to tell them right from wrong. Some things shouldn't have to be spelled out. Lying about your credentials so you can hold a membership at a much discounted rate while all your OAHI brethren pay the full rate is unethical and disgraceful and an abuse. Don't need bylaws to spell that out. At least I don't. You, on the other hand, obviously do. That's a shame.

As far as me taking it up with OAHI or whomever, our Ethics Committee has already issued a stern warning about your abuse. Why don't you read it an act on it instead of trying to come up with idiotic loopholes to justify your blatant lies and lack of ethics?

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/13/2005 22:02:31



Raymond.Wand



Posted - 09/14/2005 : 07:59:17



423 Posts

quote:

Just because you have rights doesn't making lying to the public about your credentials "right," now does it.

The bylaws also don't tell the membership not to steal from the houses we inspect. Based on your twisted and flawed logic, then it must be okay to steal, since it's not clearly addressed in the bylaws.

Ethical and professional business people don't need a list of rules to tell them right from wrong. Some things shouldn't have to be spelled out. Lying about your credentials so you can hold a membership at a much discounted rate while all your OAHI brethren pay the full rate is unethical and disgraceful and an abuse. Don't need bylaws to spell that out. At least I don't. You, on the other hand, obviously do. That's a shame.

As far as me taking it up with OAHI or whomever, our Ethics Committee has already issued a stern warning about your abuse. Why don't you read it an act on it instead of trying to come up with idiotic loopholes to justify your blatant lies and lack of ethics?

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/13/2005 22:02:31

[Go to Top of Page](#)

Mr. Bottoms.

I again ask you to substantiate via the by-laws the specific sections which you claim make me a liar, and provide substance to your statements. You have not done so and don't appear to be able to do so.

In regards to the by-laws they don't explicitly explain that Associate Members should not utter threats, intimidate, or harass. Nor would I expect the Editor in Chief of the Canadian Home Inspector Magazine to display his ignorance of the rules, and how to report fairly and accurately without the need to lie.

For the record I have not had any letters from the DPPC in regards to this issue. It seems the only people who have a problem are you and Mr. Mullens. And also for the record I don't think the DPPC is in any position to chase me for unfounded allegations when there are other outstanding issues that I have never had the pleasure of a reply.

I also wonder about your status as an Associate Member. You are not actively inspecting as you are a full time contractor. You have been in the Assoc. category for well over the 3 year limit. It certainly seems to me you should be in the Retired category, and appear to be misrepresenting yourself on your website.

You will be happy to know that I plan on renewing with Oahi and I will again renew under the Retired category and will join whatever association I please. There is nothing you can do about it so you might as well live with it.

It is unfortunate that you and Mr. Mullens continue to carry this hatred onto this board which is set up for the dissemination of home inspection information. This forum was moving along very nicely and getting members back on and discussing inspection issues. Now you two have high jacked it. Neither one have you have contributed anything here other then your hot air.

Now either go through proper channels with your complaint or close the hole beneath your nose.

Raymond Wand RHI and member of CAHPI.
Alton, ON



Dave.Bottoms



Posted - 09/14/2005 : 09:23:11



96 Posts

quote:

I again ask you to substantiate via the by-laws the specific sections which you claim make me a liar, and provide substance to your statements. You have not done so and don't appear to be able to do so.

It's really quite simple: 1. You claim you are an RHI here, on your U.S. NACHI forum, and on your website. 2. In actuality, you do NOT hold the membership status of RHI, you gave that up when you renewed as a *Retired RHI*. Duping the public into thinking you hold the title of RHI when you do not is what makes you a liar. I don't need bylaws to tell me that, nor should you. You are setting an extremely poor example for new members. You are also thumbing your nose at those legitimate RHIs who have paid full membership fees to hold that title.

As for the rest of your blathering, sorry but I refuse to let you try to distract everyone from the fact you are lying about your credentials.

When I search your name on OAHI's website to see if you are an RHI, here's what I find:

<http://www.oahi.com/PUBLIC/listinspectors.asp?method=lastname&search=wand>

But on your own website you claim to be an RHI:

<http://www.raymondwand.ca/credentials.html>

That's all the evidence I or anyone else should need.

As far as you renewing again as a *Retired RHI*, you are not retired. That membership status is reserved for those not performing inspections, which we all know you are. I would once again draw your attention to the recent notice by the OAHI Ethics Committee:

quote:

One issue that keeps coming up concerns retired members that continue practice. I would draw your attention to the **OAHI By-law article 3.3(e)(2)**

which states: "Retired Members" shall be persons who: are not active performing inspections.

If you are a retired member and are performing inspections you are required to update your membership and pay the required fees to become an active RHI. Failure to do so will result in disciplinary action being taken against you in accordance with the OAHI Bylaws.

Why, exactly, do you feel that you are free to operate outside of our ethical guidelines? If I were to hazard a guess, I think you are hoping to waste the membership's money by forcing OAHI to take legal action to get you to stop lying about your membership status. That's why you keep inviting me and others to lodge a complaint to the DPPC. I think you are hoping to be able to brag to your NACHI club how you forced the OAHI to waste money on lawyer fees much like Roy Cooke Sr keeps bragging about how he tried to bankrupt OAHI with legal fees.

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/14/2005 10:40:26



Raymond.Wand

Posted - 09/14/2005 : 11:50:18

423 Posts

Mr. Bottoms

If you are so sure of your allegations, and facts, please for the umpteenth time file a complaint.

Does the Association lawyer Mr. Segal know what is going on here? It seems I am being ridiculed and being judged here without due process and contrary to the by-laws. Is Mr. Bottoms now spokesperson for the DPPC and BOD it sure looks that way.

Thank you.

Raymond Wand
Alton, ON



Topic

New Topic Reply to Topic

Printer Friendly

Jump To: