



### OAHI Knowledge Cafe

[Home](#) | [Profile](#) | [Active Topics](#) | [Members](#) | [Search](#) | [FAQ](#)

You are logged on as **Raymond.Wand** 

- All Forums
- OAHI Discussions
- New Topics
- The National take 2

- New Topic
- Reply to Topic
- Printer Friendly

[Previous Page](#)

**Author**

**Topic**

Page:  2  of 2

[Dave.Bottoms](#)

Posted - 09/20/2005 : 22:06:46

107 Posts

Bill,

One has only to use the search function on NACHI's forum to know the truth about Wand's plans to disrupt the N.I. and hurt the HI profession in Canada by helping Nick's company. It's all right there for anyone to read and it's been going on for years.

Dave



[Dave.Bottoms](#)

Posted - 09/20/2005 : 22:08:56

107 Posts

quote:

*Originally posted by Raymond.Wand*

Mr. Bottoms

My membership status and renewal choices are none of your business.

As to my Retired status that too is none of your business.

Raymond Wand R.H.I.

Actually, Ray, I already know the answers to my questions.

Dave



[Bill.Mullen](#)

Posted - 09/20/2005 : 22:23:52

84 Posts

Mr. Wand has already run to his American friends with a copy of the nasty things he thinks we're saying about him and his Yankee buddies.

He now has them so confused they think the National Initiative is all about Government Housing similar to HUD in the Excited States. That just proves how dangerous a small amount of erroneous information can be.

The fact that he has such a naive, believing audience on the NACHI Forum speaks volumes for their desparation and willingness to drink from any Koolaid cup that looks good.

The Nickster has them dancing on the end of his strings like good little puppets.

Bill Mullen  
CAHPI - The Voice of Canadian Home Inspectors



**Dave.Bottoms**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 07:42:13

107 Posts

Once again Wand is playing the part of mole, running information from this closed, private, for-members-only forum to his NACHI handlers. I'm surprised the forum moderator lets him continue to get away with this.

Dave



**Raymond.Wand**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 07:55:13

445 Posts

Do either one of you two have any morals?

You know you wouldn't get caught in lies if you only learned to keep quiet. You are like a pack of Wolf's, you get in a feeding frenzy and go wild with unsubstantiated rumour, lies, and speculation.

I would like to know how you two can thumb your noses at the forum rules, and seem to get away with it?

Mr. Bottoms your role as Editor in Chief has gone to your head. You should resign under the circumstances for your outright lies, your threats and the other documented incidences you have partaken in towards me. Again why don't you tell the fine members how I and another had to report you to the police and your internet provider? You seem to be unable to comprehend right from wrong.

Mr. Mullens you seem to be pretty much in the same boat as Mr. Bottoms in having the knack to make up any lie you wish and to distort the by-laws for your own misguided agenda. It is clear to me you two have no intention of following the COE, nor the forum rules, that makes you both hypocrites.

Carry on.

Raymond Wand  
Alton, ON



**Dave.Bottoms**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 10:04:33

107 Posts

Wand,

On numerous occasions (too many to count) you have complained about membership apathy, how no one seems interested in standing up for what's right. Well, here I am standing up to your blatant abuse of your membership status in the name of all those RHIs who have to pay the full membership fee to use that acronym. Shouldn't you be patting me on the back rather than whining that I'm picking on you?

You keep bringing up my CAHPI volunteer work, for some reason. For the record, no one made my volunteer work conditional on me giving up my right to free speech. I reserve the right to express my personal opinions here and

anywhere else I choose, and my opinions have absolutely nothing to do with my position within this or any other association. If you don't like it, well guess what: Too Bad! In the future, do try to grasp that concept, will ya?

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/21/2005 10:06:29



**Raymond.Wand**



Posted - 09/21/2005 : 12:11:09



445 Posts

Mr. Bottoms

Once again you show your complete ignorance. You claim I am misusing my membership, yet you refuse to lodge a complaint. I am sorry you have trouble interpreting the by-laws and PR 158. It is clear you are intentionally misleading everyone for your own misguided purposes. Not only are you deliberately misquoting the rules you have taken it upon yourself to intimidate, utter threats and misuse this forum to spread your ill will. I am a member in good standing, and again I have rights and privileges as a member. If you can't comprehend those rights too bad.

I have never mentioned or degraded volunteering in so far as CAHPI or Oahi. Again please provide proof that I have specifically stated this. The only recognition you seem to be enjoying is telling lies, using this forum contrary to the rules. Just who do you think you are snubbing your nose at the rules? You still haven't explained to the members why you have found it necessary to utter threats, intimidate, and send email viruses. You have much accounting to account for. Oahi and CAHPI are well aware of your actions.

As to free speech yes you have that right, but you do not have the right to make false allegations under the guise of free speech.

Unlike you I have spoken to the DPPC and they assure me that the article in the Ontario Inspector relating to Retired Status were not directed at me, nor do they have any outstanding complaints against me. I can reference the contents of that email if you wish, and it will show that earlier statements by you are without merit and totally unfounded. Again this would put you in a position you cannot defend considering your actions and statements.

Now do everyone a favour and stop using this board to defame, and slander.

Raymond Wand R.H.I.  
Alton, ON



**Dave.Bottoms**



Posted - 09/21/2005 : 15:27:51



107 Posts

quote:

---

*Originally posted by Raymond.Wand*

Mr. Bottoms

Once again you show your complete ignorance. You claim I am misusing my membership, yet you refuse to lodge a complaint. Raymond Wand R.H.I.

Alton, ON

I don't need to file a complaint. Everyone on the DPPC is well aware of your transgression. Heck, they even posted a warning in the OAHI July newsletter about it, and again in the upcoming CHI Magazine.

You keep suggesting that I am unable to understand the bylaws, but it was not me who stated that members holding *Retired RHI* status are not to be actively inspecting, it was the Tom Lloyd, as head of the DPPC. I suggest that you should give him and the rest of the DPPC and BOD a lesson on interpreting the bylaws. You obviously know something they don't.

It all comes down to the following:

1. Retired RHIs are permitted to continue inspecting and continue calling themselves RHIs, as you suggest, or...
2. Retired RHIs should not be actively performing inspections, and if they decide to start back up should immediately upgrade to RHI status once again, as stated by Tom Lloyd, Chair of the DPPC.

So which is it? It can't be both, obviously. If you are right, then the DPPC is wrong. If the DPPC is right, then you are abusing your membership privileges.

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/21/2005 15:30:42



### **Bill.Mullen**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 17:24:59

84 Posts

Sometimes, as we stroll along the "high road" on our way to our successful destiny, we may find ourselves hounded along the way by inferior beings who are jealous of our successes and are intent upon bringing us down to their level, since they have little hope of ever raising themselves to ours.

Some have the strength to ignore these annoyingly negative dregs and stay focused upon the goal that lies ahead.

At times, however, even the best of us will have occasion to pause and step off the road for a second for the sheer pleasure of stomping the living ---- out of the little turds and returning a little bit of the aggravation we have had to endure, before getting back on the high road and continuing along on our journey.

Someone sent the above to me and I think it reflects exactly how many of us feel about R & R Carnival of Crap. That being said, Wand and his Kooky buddy can continue to drag the good inspectors down to their level all they want. Just like in the movies, the good guys are going to win this one, and that will be very evident very soon.

Wand can continue his brain-addled garble all he wants. I have better things to do than argue with this person who means to do us all harm.

I apologize to the Ontario members for participating in this exercise in futility. Maybe he'll just slink away if he has nobody to argue with. I doubt it, but we can hope.



Go to Top of Page



**Bill.Mullen**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 18:48:19

84 Posts

One last question, Mr. Wand:

Where does it say in that 'quote' from the DPPC that you do not have any outstanding complaints against you? I don't see that anywhere although you earlier stated that it was said. Please show us where this was stated.

I guess as usual with you we'll have to read between the lines.

Bill Mullen RHI (Genuine)  
Sarnia, ont.  
CAHPI - The Voice of Canadian Home Inspectors

Edited by - Bill.Mullen on 09/21/2005 18:49:30



**Dave.Bottoms**

Posted - 09/21/2005 : 19:08:32

107 Posts

Wand,

I'm having trouble determining where you think I might be lying. I'll list the statements I made so you will have the opportunity to point out the ones that you believe to be inaccurate.

1. I stated that you hold the membership status of Retired RHI. Is that true or not?
2. I stated that while you hold the membership status of Retired RHI, you actually claim to be an RHI on your website. Is that true or not? Here's a link to your website in case you've forgotten:  
<http://www.raymondwand.ca/credentials.html>
3. I stated that the DPPC issued a noticed to the membership stating, and I quote:

quote:

---

A number of issues that keep coming up are retired members that continue practice. I would draw your attention to the **OAHI By-law article 3.3(e)(2) which states: "Retired Members" shall be persons who: are not active performing inspections.**

**If you are a retired member and are performing inspections you are required to update your membership and pay the required fees to become an active RHI.** Failure to do so will result in disciplinary action being taken against you in accordance with the OAHI Bylaws.

---

Did the DPPC issue that notice or not?

4. I stated that this message obviously applies to you in that you are a Retired

RHI who is NOT retired and who claims to be an RHI on your website. Is that a fair assessment, yes or no?

5. I stated that your abuse is perpetrated on the backs of all the RHIs who are paying the full membership fee required to carry the RHI designation. Is that a fair assessment, yes or no?

So, why don't you let me know which of those five statements are inaccurate. And stop being so damn belligerent, for crying out loud. You have a nasty habit of launching unfounded personal attacks and name-calling every time you are caught lying.

**Now, here's the concise, bottom line, summary: You are NOT an RHI. You are a *Retired RHI*. You lie about this fact on your website. The OAHI DPPC has issued a notice about this unethical practice. You so far have chosen to ignore that notice.**

Have a nice evening, big guy. ☐

Dave

Edited by - Dave.Bottoms on 09/21/2005 19:18:25



[Raymond.Wand](#)



Posted - 09/21/2005 : 22:24:14



445 Posts

Mr. Bottoms

It is unfortunate you are a habitual liar. It is also unfortunate you have misrepresented the proper sections of PR 158 and the Oahi by-laws, and continue to knowingly abuse this forums written rules. It is unfortunate you have turned a post dealing with information used to formulate the National into your personal tirade and soap box. It is unfortunate you continue to speculate and spread falsities knowing them to be untrue. It is unfortunate you have failed to file a complaint with the DPPC. It is unfortunate you are only an Associate and have been for well over the three year period as stated in the by-laws. It is unfortunate that you have found it necessary to send vicious emails laced with profanities on numerous occassions. It is unfortunate you have made veiled threats to prevent me from attending the Conference. it is unfortunate that you have stoop to such a level. It is unfortunate that Oahi and CAHPI are aware of your with your threats, and the lack of diligence and a standard of care excercised by the administration considering the severity of this matter. It is unfortunate because of your statements and accusations that you are putting the Association in a very precurious legal situation. It is unfortunate you are not as intelligent as you would like everyone to believe, and its unfortunate you choose to display it in such a reckless and wanton disregard. It is unfortunate that you are now calling into question a legitimate letter from the DPPC.

It is unfortunate that you cannot do anything about what claim to be a wrong, and its unfortunate you are not in a position to do anything about it except blow smoke. It is also unfortunate that you can't interpret laws, and its unfortunatle you are not a lawyer. It is unfortunate you do not know anything about my business operations and what my business entails. It is unfortunate that I will be forwarding these slanderous and defamatory comments to the Association lawyer Mr. Segal to alert him of the fact that a self regulating body is ignoring a

very serious matter and tolerating unfounded non factual statements which are slanderous and defamatory. It is unfortunate that the Association will now have to spend membership money having to reply to my concerns.

It is also unfortunate I along with another person had to report you to the police. It is unfortunate that you have left a trail of evidence in this regard. It is unfortunate that you have been stupid enough to put your comments in writing. My best advice is for you to seek medical help along with a lawyer.

**quote:**

---

**For the record and to be factual you were reported to the police on March 13/05 to Constable Beaton  
Badge No. 11320  
Occurrence No. OPO5048206  
Caledon OPP Detachment**

---


It is unfortunate that I have to take this matter further and follow due process unlike you who has never heard of due process let alone the meaning of the word "truth." It is unfortunate you have no scruples.

How this innocent post about the National and informative links degraded to this level by you and Mr. Mullens is beyond me. Clearly there is deep seated animosities. It is also quite obvious that you don't care about the National, you have come here to discredit and cast asperitions for your own sick reasons. Just where is the Forum Administrator who is being paid to be a monitor here and has succintly on a previous occassion stated which forum rules were being contavened by you. For the record they are #7.10 2 (a) (b) (f) #7.10 3 (b) (c) (l) (o)

For the record I am not changing anything on my website, and will continue to use R.H.I. whether you like it or not. No one seems to have a problem except you, so learn to live with it.

Raymond Wand R.H.I. 0029  
<http://www.raymondwand.ca>



Page:   of 2

Topic

Previous Page

New Topic  Reply to Topic  
 Printer Friendly

Jump To:  

OAHI Knowledge Cafe

© 2004 OAHI

Snitz Forums 2000